







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/115,654	07/15/1998	YOSHINORI SHIBATA	98092	1628	
_ 75	590 04/23/2002				
DENNISON MESEROLE POLLACK & SCHEINER			EXAMINER		
SUITE 612			DEXTER, CLARK F		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/23/2002	DATE MAILED: 04/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

Examiner

Shibata

Clark F Dayte

09/115,654

Art Unit 3724



	•	Clark F. Dexter	3724	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence address	
A SH	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE 3 MONT	H(S) FROM	
- Exten aft - If the be	asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory	cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimul	m of thirty (30) days will	
- Failur - Any r ea	mmunication. e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b eply received by the Office later than three months after th rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y statute, cause the application to bec e mailing date of this communication,	ome ABANDONED (35 U. even if timely filed, may r	S.C. § 133). educe any
Status 1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 31, 2	2002		
2a) 🗆		tion is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prose		s is
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-19, 21-29, and 33-54	is	s/are pending in the ap	plication.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s) <u>51 and 52</u>	is	are withdrawn from	consideratio
5)□	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-19, 21-29, 33-50, 53, and 54		is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to res	striction and/or election	n requirement
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re objected to by the Examiner.		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: all approved	d biji disapproved.	
12)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
13)💢	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
	1. $ ot\!$	ve been received.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received in Application I	lo	·
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burdee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e).	
Attachm	ent(s)			
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape	or No(s)	
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Applicatio		
17) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/115,654

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The responses filed October 22, 2001 and January 31, 2002 have been entered. It is noted that in view of the new amendment practice under 37 CFR 1.121 which became mandatory for all amendments on March 1, 2001, and due to the limited amount of examining time per application, if the amendment contains changes to existing language that requires a marked-up version showing those changes, the Examiner is relying upon the marked-up version(s) for examination of the application. It is applicant's responsibility to ensure that the clean version(s) is (are) the same as the marked-up version(s). It is further noted that the clean version(s) is (are) considered to be the Official version(s).

Election/Restriction

2. Applicant's designation of the claims readable on elected species IIIb is appreciated. Upon further consideration, apparatus claims directed to non-elected species including non-elected claims 2-11 have been rejoined and thus claims 1-19, 21-50, 53 and 54 are being examined. Applicant's arguments directed to including method claims 51 and 52 for examination along with the apparatus claims is not persuasive. The method claims are considered to be directed to a process that appears to be patentably distinct from the apparatus. It is noted that although apparatus claims 53 and 54 are set forth using "means-plus-function" limitations, those limitations



Art Unit: 3724

are still directed to structure, not to a process for performing an operation, and there is clearly at least one-way distinctness between the process and the apparatus claims.

3. Claims 51 and 52 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-19, 21-29, 33-50, 53 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 14 and 18, "sensor means" is vague since it does not include or is not followed by a function, and it is suggested to simply change "sensor" to --sensing--.

In claim 2, lines 3-4, structural cooperation is lacking for the fixing member, and it is suggested in line 3 to change "for" to --releasably-- or the like; in line 5, structural cooperation is lacking between the fixing member and the actuator, and it is suggested to insert --coupled to said fixing member-- after "actuator" or the like.

In claim 3, line 7, --releasably-- should be inserted before "fixes" or the like for clarity; in lines 9-10, "vertical pivotal movement" is vague as to how it relates to the vertical movement previously set forth, and it seems that it should be changed to --said vertical-- or the like.

Application/Control Number: 09/115,654

Art Unit: 3724

In claim 5, line 3, structural cooperation is not positively provided for the dust cover, and it is suggested to insert --covering said actuator and said screw for-- before "protecting" or the like.

In claim 7, lines 3-4, structural cooperation is lacking for the fixing member, and it is suggested in line 3 to change "for" to --releasably-- or the like; in line 5, structural cooperation is lacking between the fixing member and the actuator, and it is suggested to insert --coupled to said fixing member-- after "actuator" or the like.

In claim 8, line 8, --releasably-- should be inserted before "fixes" or the like for clarity.

In claim 10, line 3, structural cooperation is not positively provided for the dust cover, and it is suggested to insert --covering said actuator and said screw for-- before "protecting" or the like.

In claim 11, line 3, "said sensor means" is vague and indefinite as to which sensor means.

In claim 14, lines 5-6, the recitation "arranged and constructed to slide" is vague and indefinite as to how the slide shaft is "arranged and constructed", and it is suggested to change "arranged and constructed to slide" to --slidable-- or the like.

In claim 16, line 4, structural cooperation is not positively provided for the dust cover, and it is suggested to insert --covering said first actuator and said first screw for-- before "protecting" or the like; similarly in line 6, structural cooperation is not positively provided for the dust cover, and it is suggested in line 7 to insert --covering said second actuator and said second screw for-- before "protecting" or the like.

1

Application/Control Number: 09/115,654

Art Unit: 3724

In claim 21 appears to be inaccurate since the locks include the actuators, and it seems that in lines 1-2, "further comprising:" should be changed to --wherein said first lock comprises--; in line 3, "first lock and" should be deleted; and in line 5, --said second lock comprises--, and "second lock and the" should be deleted.

In claim 22, line 6, the recitation "arranged and constructed to slide" is vague and indefinite as to how the slide shaft is "arranged and constructed", and it is suggested to change "arranged and constructed to slide" to --slidable-- or the like.

In claim 23, lines 11-12, the recitation "arranged and constructed to rotate" is vague and indefinite as to how the first actuator is "arranged and constructed" to perform the recited function; in line 25, the recitation "arranged and constructed to rotate" is vague and indefinite as to how the second actuator is "arranged and constructed" to perform the recited function.

In claim 24, line 3, structural cooperation is not positively provided for the dust cover, and it is suggested in line 4 to insert --covering said first actuator and said first screw for-- before "protecting" or the like; similarly in line 7, structural cooperation is not positively provided for the dust cover, and it is suggested in line 9 to insert --covering said second actuator and said second screw for-- before "protecting" or the like.

In claim 26, line 15, "arranged and constructed to detect ..." is vague and indefinite as to how the first sensor is arranged and constructed to perform the recited function; in line 22, "arranged and constructed to detect ..." is vague and indefinite as to how the second sensor is arranged and constructed to perform the recited function.

Application/Control Number: 09/115,654

Art Unit: 3724

In claim 35, line 12, "the sensor means" lacks positive antecedent basis, and "sensor" should be changed to --sensing--; similarly, in line 16, "the sensor means" lacks positive antecedent basis, and "sensor" should be changed to --sensing--.

In claim 36, lines 6-7, "the sensing means" is vague as to which sensing means is being referred.

In claim 37, lines 1-2, "wherein said enabling means further comprising ..." is vague and indefinite and appears to be inaccurate since two separate structures are provided, one to perform each function, and it is suggested to delete "wherein said enabling means" or the like.

In claim 38, line 15, "the table surface" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 39, lines 1-2, wherein said enabling means further comprising ..." is vague and indefinite and appears to be inaccurate since two separate structures are provided, one to perform each function, and it is suggested to delete "wherein said enabling means" or the like.

In claim 40, line 6, structural cooperation is not positively set forth for "a sensor".

In claim 41, line 6, "holder" renders the claim vague and indefinite and appears to be inaccurate, and it seems that it should be changed to --shaft-- or the like.

In claim 42, line 2, structural cooperation is not sufficiently provided for "a screw", and it is suggested in lines 1-2 to change "further comprising" to --wherein said lock further comprises-or the like.

Claims 44-50, 53 and 54 should be reviewed for similar vague and indefinite language.



Art Unit: 3724

Prior Art

- 5. Further consideration of the claimed invention with respect to the prior art will be given upon clarification of the claimed invention.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404. The examiner's typical work schedule is Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and he can be reached during normal business hours on these days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Allan Shoap, can be reached at (703)308-1082.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers for this group are: formal papers - (703)305-3579; informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd April 22, 2002